## Errata in Blecker-Setterfield (2019)

Page xxvii, lower-case Greek alpha () is also used for speeds of adjustment in Chapter 6.

Rcig"54."hktuv"nkpg"qh"ncuv"rctcitcrj."kv"ujqwnf"uc{"õtgrtgugpvgf"d{"gswcvkqp"\*3062 +0ö

Page 38, last line of text, it should say "since  $/ = / = 1/_{0} 0000$ "

Page 94, 3 lines above section 2.8, it should say, "by switching to <u>renewable</u> gpgt i {"uqwtegu000" (such as solar and wind power).

Page 97, first two lines below Figure 2.13, it should say, "This system describes a <u>closed orbit</u> ctqwpf"vjg"gswknkdtkwo"rqkpv0000ö""\***delete** õc"÷nk o kv"e{engg"qtö+0"

For a Goodwin cycle modeled as a limit cycle, see Foley, Michl, and Tavani (2019), Figure 6.8, p. 124. The only difference between their model and the one shown in Blecker-Setterfield (aside from notation and a few minor details) is that Foley et al. have a difference equations model in discrete time with lags while Blecker and Setterfield have a differential equations model in continuous time.

The next 3 items relate to missing "/ 1ö"vgt ou"kp"uq og" ocv jg ocvkecn"gzr tguukqpu<

Page 184, 4 lines above equation (4.36), it should say, "because if  $_2 > /_1$  then the oqfgn"ecp"qpn{"dg"uvcdng"kh0000ö"\*vjg"tguv"qh"vjg"ugpvgpeg"ku"eqttgev+.

Rc i g"424."pqvg"52."u j qwn f"tgc f"cu"hqnnq y u<"õHere, the stability condition only tells us that 1 > [2 "\* / 1)](1 / ), and since 2 could be either greater or less than / 1, it ku"rquukdng"v j cv0000ö"\*v j g"tguv"qh"v j g"ugpvgpeg"ku"correct).

Rcig"424."pqvg"53."ujqwnf"tgcf"cu"hqmqyu<"õAlso, the higher is , the more likely it is that  $/_{1} > 2$ ."kp" y jkej "ecug000ö""\*vjg"tguv"qh"vjg"ugpvgpeg"ku"eqttgev+0

Page 214, Figure 5.1, panels (a) and (c), the vertical intercepts for the  $\hat{}$  lines should be  $-\theta$ .

Page 217, equation (5.10) should be

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\varphi}} = \frac{\varphi \theta(\psi - \psi) - [(1 - \beta)\theta + \gamma \varphi]}{\varphi + \theta}$$

 $mulvkrn\{kpi"*3"")$  in the numerator. Also, 3–4 lines below this, it should say "whether an increase in causes lower or higher equilibrium inflation depends on the sign of [(1 - ) + ].ö And, 3 lines further down, it should say, "requires < 1 + (/ +0) Page 229, 2<sup>nd</sup> to last line, below equation (5.19), needs to be inserted in the definition of  $\omega_1$  as hqmq y u<"  $\omega_1 = \varphi \lambda_1 - (1 - \alpha) \theta \eta_1 - \frac{1}{1}$ .

Page 285, 4 lines after the derivative, it should say equation (3.31) instead of (3.23).

Page 296, just above equation (6.27), it should say "replacement of equatiop"\*8048+ö"